

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/911,563	07/24/2001	Thomas P. Osypka	695716.0016 (OSCO-114)	6118
21874	7590 04/20/2004		EXAMINER	
EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP			MAYNARD, JENNIFER J	
P.O. BOX 558 BOSTON, M.			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3763	

DATE MAILED: 04/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/911,563	OSYPKA ET AL.			
navicery near.	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jennifer J Maynard	3763			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	ation in		
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF	g date of the final rejecti HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appr	ion. See MPEP ropriate extension		
fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai CFR 1.704(b).	originally set in the final ling date of the final reje	Office action; or		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	is.		
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment		
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which wer	e newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12 and 25-32</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 13-15 and	<u>17-24</u> .				
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)				
10. Other:		BRIAN E. CASL	ER		
	9U <u>l</u>	PERVISORY PATENT	EXAMINER		

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's newly amended claim language, i.e. " the tubular body having a longitudinal axis"; " the distal end portion defining a flat annular distal end surface extending perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the central lumen"; and " the plug body having a longitudinal axis and a flat circular distal end surface extending perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the plug body preventing blood flow into the lumen of the access port when the distal end portion of the access port is disposed within a blood vessel, the plug body is engaged within the lumen of the access port" has not previously been considered on its merits therefore the amendment would require further consideration and/or search.

BRIAN L. CASLER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700